

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginsa 22313-1450 www.spile.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.						
09/909,644	07/20/2001	Andrew S. Kanter	0010-2	1841						
25901 ERNEST D. B	7590 02/28/200 LIFE	EXAM	EXAMINER							
ERNEST D. B	UFF AND ASSOCIAT	CARLSON,	CARLSON, JEFFREY D							
231 SOMERV BEDMINSTEI		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER							
	.,	3622								
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE						
		02/28/2008	PAPER							

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	09/909,644	KANTER, ANDREW S.						
	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Jeffrey D. Carlson	3622						

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

TΗ	IE I	REPLY	FILED	04	Fε	bruar	y 2	800	FAILS	s TC	P	LA	CE.	THIS	APPLICA <sup>®</sup>	TION IN	A CON	DIT	ION F	OR A	LLC	OMANC	Œ.	

- 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:
  - The period for reply expires \_\_\_\_\_months from the mailing date of the final rejection. a)
  - b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706 07(f)

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### NOTICE OF APPEAL

The Notice of Appeal was filed on \_ . A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

- AMENDMENTS 3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
- (a) 
   \overline{\text{They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
  (b) 
   \overline{\text{They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);}
  - (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
  - appeal; and/or
    - (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
- NOTE: \_\_\_\_\_. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
- 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
- Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
- 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
- 7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) x will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
  - The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
  - Claim(s) allowed:
  - Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-20.
  - Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:

#### AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

- 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
- 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1),
- 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.
- REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER
- 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
- Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).
- Other: See Continuation Sheet.

/Jeffrey D. Carlson/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3622 Continuation of 13. Other. Re: #3 above, applicant has entered new claim scope by the proposed amendments to the independent claims. Further, no adjustability of the time period delay has previously been considered. Further still, the adjustability of a delay value in the method use claim appears to present a 112P2 problem. Adjustability in the present method claim indicates that other values could have been chosen, yet the method/use claim should apparently be limited to a single usage which represents a single selection of delay value. Other delay values that were not chosen in the method cannot be set forth as limitations.